### Toward convection-permitting EPS

Geert Smet

#### 2nd ALADIN Forecasters meeting Lisbon (Portugal) 21-23 October 2015



### Outline

1. Current set-up

2. Thunderstorm cases

3. Future plans



- AROME and ALARO models (both at 2.5km) are coupled to ECMWF ENS.
- 22 limited area ensemble members: 10+1 from ALARO and 10+1 from AROME (cy38h1.1, both with SURFEX).
- Forecast range: 36 hours (at 00 and 12 UTC).
- Surface assimilation cycle (CANARI) + 3DVar upper-air data assimilation for control members.

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨト



Figure: Probability plot HMEPS: 3h accumulated precipitation (> 5mm), forecast of 20150813 (00h UTC run) over full domain.



・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ 臣 ト ・ 臣 ト



Figure: INDRA alert map for HMEPS: 6h accumulated precipitation forecast of 20150813 (00h UTC run).

#### HMEPS Precipitation forecast (3 hour accumulation)

#### Latest forecast: 2015-08-13 00:00 UTC

#### Ensemble mean precipitation

| Stations / Forecast | 13/08 03:00 | 13/08 06:00 | 13/08 09:00 | 13/08 12:00 | 13/08 15:00 | 13/08 18:00 | 13/08 21:00 | 14/08 00:00 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Oostende-Airport    |             |             |             | 0.1         | 0.1         |             |             | 1.4         |
| Gent-Industrie      |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Chievres            |             |             |             | 0.1         |             |             |             | 0.9         |
| Uccle               |             |             |             |             |             | 4.9         |             |             |
| Antwerpen-Deurne    |             |             |             |             |             | 1.4         | 6.4         | 4.0         |
| Florennes           |             |             |             |             | 0.3         | 4.9         |             | 0.2         |
| StHubert            |             |             |             |             | 0.4         | 4.5         |             |             |
| Bierset             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Kleine-Brogel       |             |             |             |             |             | 0.3         |             |             |
| Elsenborn           |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |

HMEPS forecast of 13/08 00:00:

Click station names for detailed forecasts.

# Figure: INDRA station table for HMEPS: 3h accumulated precipitation forecast of 20150813 (00h UTC run).



・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ



```
Probability of exceeding thresholds.
```



Figure: Probability plot HMEPS: 3h accumulated precipitation forecast of 20150813 (00h UTC run) for station Bierset (Belgium).



- Severe thunderstorms can cause a significant loss of life and property. Notable examples:
  - Pukkelpop thunderstorm of 2011 (5 deaths).
  - Pentecost storms of 2014 (several 100 million euro in damage).

(ロ) (四) (E) (E) (E)

 Short-range ensemble systems are being developed at convection-permitting scales (1 to 2.5 km horizontal resolution) to improve high-impact weather forecasting.



9/29

KM

#### Pentecost



Figure: Radar images of 7 June 2014 between 18h and 24h UTC. On the left, a heavy hail event over Brussels.



・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨト

Pentecost

- Hail event of Saturday 7 June 2014 was relatively small scale (see radar images).
- High profile event, as it occurred live on TV, during a football match of our national team in Brussels (Belgium against Tunisia).
- Most of our operational models did not predict this event.

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ・

Pentecost

In the 00h UTC run of 7 June, neither GLAMEPS, the ECMWF models (deterministic and EPS), or our operational LAM model (4km ALARO coupled to ARPEGE) showed any convective activity (see next figure).



#### Pentecost



Figure: Operational Belgian LAM (4km ALARO coupled to ARPEGE). Forecast of 7 June 2014, 00h UTC. Accumulated 3-hourly precipitation for lead times +21h (left) and +24h (right).



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Pentecost

- The 12h UTC run, did predict some convective precipitation (see next figure), but timing, position and shape differ from what was observed (respectively later, more eastwards, and more large scale).
  - Moreover, this run was only available around 17h UTC, so only 1 to 2 hours before the event happened.



#### Pentecost



Figure: Operational Belgian LAM (4km ALARO coupled to ARPEGE). Forecast of 7 June 2014, 12h UTC. Accumulated 3-hourly precipitation for lead times +9h (left) and +12h (right).



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Pentecost

- Experiments at convection-permitting scale, consisted of coupling one AROME member and one ALARO member (both with horizontal resolution of 2.5km) to the deterministic ECMWF model.
  - Coupling with ECMWF instead of ARPEGE does not help in this case.
  - AROME member does also not predict the event.
  - It seems 3DVAR has little influence on the forecasts of the thunderstorms, particularly precipitation.
  - Somewhat better location in general when running over a bigger domain.



#### Pentecost



Figure: AROME member of HarmonEPS (coupled to deterministic ECMWF). Forecast of 7 June 2014, run of 00h UTC. Accumulated 3-hourly precipitation for lead time +21h (left) and +24h (right).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Pentecost

- Experiments at convection-permitting scale (2.5km), with ALARO-1.
  - Coupling with ARPEGE, over same domain and with same vertical levels (65L).
  - Additionally, an ensemble was created with the SLAF (Scaled Lagged Average Forecast) method.
  - In cooperation with IMGW-Poland (Bogdan Bochenek and Malgorzata Szczech-Gajewska).

(□) (@) (E) (E) E

Prob 3h precipitation over 10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> Start: 20140607 Valid: r12 + 09h



Figure: ALARO-1 coupled to ARPEGE (with SLAF). Probability of 3-hourly accumulated precipitation over 10mm. Forecast of 7 June 2014, 12h UTC run, lead time +9h.





Figure: SLAF member of ALARO-1 coupled to ARPEGE. Accumulated 3-hourly precipitation. Forecast of 7 June 2014, 12h UTC, lead time +9h.

Pentecost

- Consistent with Belgian results, the 00h UTC runs showed very little precipitation, but some activity was seen in the 12h UTC runs.
- Interestingly, a few SLAF members predicted more than 30mm of precipitation, closer to what was observed, but with too broad structure.
- Next, we plan to test coupling to ECMWF (deterministic + SLAF) and to ECMWF-EPS.



## Future plans

- A good ensemble should give a realistic estimate of the uncertainties in the forecast(s).
- How to best account for model uncertainty at convection-permitting scales?
- Perturbation techniques are used that have been applied in lower resolution ensembles (> 10 km), but do not work as well at high resolutions.
- PROPOSAL: implement and test more physically based perturbations.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

## Future plans

- The stochastic SPPT method is used in ECMWF's forecasting system (30km resolution!).
  - Representing uncertainty coming from all the physical parameterizations in an aggregate way.
- Tested in several convection-permitting ensemble systems (Bouttier et al., 2012; Callado, 2013; Romine et al., 2014; Szúcs, 2013).
- Spread of the ensemble is generally improved, but bias problems, reduced deterministic model skill.
- Large horizontal autocorrelation scale (hundreds of km), i.e. synoptic instead of convective scale.



ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

More physical perturbations by perturbing the physical parameters, and/or by introducing stochasticity at the process level:

- turbulence parameterization
- deep convection (e.g. triggering mechanism)
- vertical cloud geometry
- microphysics, e.g. sampling pdf's used in the statistical sedimentation scheme of ALARO.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Future plans

#### • Comparison with SPPT.

- statistical verification against observations over a long (summer) period
- thunderstorm case studies
- Comparison of SLAF method with coupling to ECMWF-EPS.
- Influence of the initial and boundary conditions, and the interaction with the physical perturbations.

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ・

 Higher horizontal resolution ? (e.g. from 2.5 km to 1.3 km)

・ロ・・ (日・・ (日・・ 日・

- Bigger domain ?
- Lagged boundaries ? (due to operational constraints)

# THANK YOU



### References

- Bouttier F, Vié B, Nuissier O, Raynaud L. 2012. Impact of stochastic physics in a convectionpermitting ensemble. Mon. Weather. Rev. 140: 3706-3721.
- Callado A. 2013. Towards stochastic parametrisations in convection-permitting HARMONIE EPS. SRNWP PHY-EPS Workshop, Madrid 18-20 June 2013.
- Romine G, Schwartz C, Berner J, Fossell K, Snyder C, Anderson J, Weisman M. 2014.
  Representing forecast error in convectionpermitting ensemble system. Mon. Weather Rev. 142: 4519-4541.



### References

- De Meutter P, Gerard L, Smet G, Hamid K, Hamdi R, Degrauwe D, Termonia P. 2015. Predicting small-scale, short-lived downbursts: case study with the NWP limited-area ALARO model for the Pukkelpop thunderstorm. Mon. Weather Rev.
- Szúcs M. 2013. Examination of SPPT and different coupling strategies in AROME-EPS.
  SRNWP PHY-EPS Workshop, Madrid 18-20 June 2013.