

LDAS-Monde Sequential assimilation of satellite derived Vegetation and soil moisture products Applied to the Contiguous US

Clement Albergel¹, Simon Munier¹, Aymeric Bocher¹, Bertrand Bonan¹, Yongjun Zhang¹, Clara Draper², Delphine J. Leroux¹, Jean-Christophe Calvet¹

¹ CNRM UMR 3589, Météo-France/CNRS, Toulouse, France
 ² CIRES/NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

Copernicus Global Land User Conference Toulouse, France, 23-25 October 2018



LDAS-Monde Sequential assimilation of satellite derived Vegetation and soil moisture products Applied to the Contiguous US

+ The summer 2018 heatwave impact on LSVs based on CGLS data

Clement Albergel¹, Simon Munier¹, Aymeric Bocher¹, Bertrand Bonan¹, Yongjun Zhang¹, Clara Draper², Delphine J. Leroux¹, Jean-Christophe Calvet¹

¹ CNRM UMR 3589, Météo-France/CNRS, Toulouse, France
 ² CIRES/NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

Copernicus Global Land User Conference Toulouse, France, 23-25 October 2018

- Current fleet of Earth Satellite missions holds an unprecedent potential to quantify Land Surface Variables (LSVs) [Lettenmaier et al., 2015]
- Spatial and temporal gaps / Cannot observe all key LSVs
- Land Surface Models (LSMs) provide LSVs estimates at all time/location
- Through a weighted combination of both, LSVs can be better estimated than by either source of information alone [Reichle et al., 2007]

Data assimilation

Spatially and temporally integrates the observed information into LSMs in a consistent way to unobserved locations, time steps and variables



LDAS-Monde : Global capacity (sequential) integration of satellite derived observations into the SURFEX modelling platform



LDAS-Monde : Global capacity (sequential) integration of satellite derived observations into the SURFEX modelling platform

 ISBA-A-gs : simulates the diurnal cycle of water and carbon fluxes, plant growth and key vegetation variables on a daily basis [Calvet et al., 1998, 2007, Gibelin et al., 2006]



LDAS-Monde : Global capacity (sequential) integration of satellite derived observations into the SURFEX modelling platform

 CTRIP : TRIP based river routing system with CNRM developments for global hydrological applications [Oki and Sud, 1998, Decharme et al., 2008, 2010]



LDAS-Monde : Global capacity (sequential) integration of satellite derived observations into the SURFEX modelling platform



Page 7 Copernicus Global Land User Conference, 23-25 October 2018, Toulouse, Fr.

Model	Domaine	Atm. Forcing	DA Method	Assimilated Obs.	Observation Operator	Control Variables	Additional Option
ISBA Multi-layer soil model CO ₂ -responsive version (Interactive veg.)			SEKF EnSRF PF	SSM (ESA-CCI, ASCAT SMOS SMAP Theia S1/S2) LAI (GEOV1/V2, 1km) Sigma0	Second layer of soil (1-4cm) LAI	Layers of soil 2 to 8 (1-100cm) LAI	



Copernicus Global Land User Conference, 23-25 October 2018, Toulouse, Fr.

Model	Domaine	Atm. Forcing	DA Method	Assimilated Obs.	Observation Operator	Control Variables	Additional Option
	Global (2000-2013, 1°)	EartH2Observe project (Schellekens et al., 2017)					Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
	Global (2000-[], <mark>0.5</mark> °)	ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011)	SEKF EnSRF PF	SSM (ESA-CCI, ASCAT SMOS SMAP Theia S1/S2) LAI (GEOV1/V2, 1km) Sigma0		Layers of soil 2 to 8 (1-100cm) LAI	Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
ISBA Multi-layer soil model CO_2 -responsive version	Meso-scale, Global (2000-[], 0.25°)	ERA-5 (Hersach, 2016, Albergel et al., 2018)			Second layer of soil (1-4cm) LAI		Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
(interactive veg.)	Meso-scale (201604-NRT, 0.1°)	IFS HRES					Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
	France (2007-2017, 8kmx8km)	SAFRAN (Quintena-Segui, et al., 2008)					Offline coupling with MODCOU
	Spain (2008-07/2014 5kmx5km)	SAFRAN-Spain (Quintena-Segui, et al., 2017					Offline coupling with RAPID

Fairbairn et al., 2017, *HESS*, Albergel et al., 2017, *GMD*, Dewaele et al., 2017, *HESS*, Leroux et al., 2018, *RS* Albergel et al., 2018, *HESS*, Albergel et al., 2018, *RS*



Copernicus Global Land User Conference, 23-25 October 2018, Toulouse, Fr.

Model	Domaine	Atm. Forcing	DA Method	Assimilated Obs.	Observation Operator	Control Variables	Additional Option
	Global (2000-2013, 1°)	EartH2Observe project (Schellekens et al., 2017)					Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
ISBA Multi-layer soil model CO ₂ -responsive version	Global ERA-Interim (2000-[], 0.5°) (Dee et al., 2011)			SSM (ESA-CCI, ASCAT			Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
	Meso-scale, Global (2000-[], 0.25°)	ERA-5 (Hersach, 2016, Albergel et al., 2018)	SEKF EnSRF PF	SMOS SMAP Theia S1/S2) LAI (GEOV1/V2, 1km) Sigma0	Second layer of soil (1-4cm) LAI	Layers of soil 2 to 8 (1-100cm) LAI	Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)
New	Meso-scale (201604-NRT, 0.1°)	IFS HRES					/
	France (2007-2017, 8kmx8km)	SAFRAN (Quintena-Segui, et al., 2008)					Offline coupling with MODCOU
	Spain (2008-07/2014 5kmx5km)	SAFRAN-Spain (Quintena-Segui, et al., 2017					Offline coupling with RAPID

Fairbairn et al., 2017, *HESS*, Albergel et al., 2017, *GMD*, Dewaele et al., 2017, *HESS*, Leroux et al., 2018, *RS* Albergel et al., 2018, *HESS*, Albergel et al., 2018, *RS*

Bonan et al., 2018, in prep HESS, Zheng et al., 2018, in prep, GMD

Next : use of ECMWF datasets (ERA5/HRES) to study resolution vs. System (Summer 2018)



LDAS-Monde sequential assimilation of satellite derived vegetation and soil moisture products

- Direct impact on model control variables (Soil moisture and LAI)
- Other variables benefit from the assimilation through biophysical processes and feedbacks in the model (e.g., Evap., GPP, river discharge, AGB)
- Powerful tool to monitor land surface variables

(Extreme events like agricultural drought, also)

High potential of the analysis for initialising forecasts

(Analysis provides better initial conditions than a model run)

- Towards higher spatial resolution LDAS-Monde using ECMWF IFS HRES (0.1°x0.1°)
- Combining ERA5 (0.25°x0.25°) and HRES (0.1°x0.1°) to force LDAS-Monde permits to have long Reanalysis with Real Time [and even forecast] capacities



LDAS-Monde : ERA5 (0.25) Top, HRES (0.10) Bottom for 07/2018



 LDAS-Monde ERA5 & HRES share similar patterns, HRES better represents LAI states than ERA5 (in both open-loop & analysis) for this event





- ERA5 and HRES LDAS open loop are quite comparable, HRES being slightly better
- LDAS Analysis add skill to both which is indication of healthy behaviour
- Long reanalysis of the LSVs with RT and FC capability
- Is the improvement due to the resolution only (e.g. better land cover?) or the forcing quality ? or both?
 Interesting opportunity to examine the impact of resolution independently from the system !





2-day forecast experiment (and even 8-day) initialised by EKF better than an openloop



LDAS-Monde : Study Forecast capacity (2018)

LAI forecast from 2 to 8-days ahead initialised by LDAS-Monde vs. Openloop



2-day forecast experiment (and even 8-day) initialised by EKF better than an openloop



LDAS-Monde (Albergel et al., 2017, GMD ; 2018 RS)

Model	Domaine	Atm. Forcing	DA Method	Assimilated Obs.	Observation Operator	Control Variables	Additional Option	
ISBA Multi-layer soil model CO ₂ -responsive version (Interactive veg.)	Continental US (2010-2016, 0.25°x0.25°)	ERA-5 (HersBach, 2016)	SEKF	SSM (ESA CCI) LAI (GEOV1)	Second layer of soil (1-4cm) LAI	Layers of soil 2 to 8 (1-100cm) LAI	Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)	



Copernicus Global Land User Conference, 23-25 October 2018, Toulouse, Fr.

FRANCE

LDAS-Monde (Albergel et al., 2017, GMD ; 2018 RS)

Model	Domaine	Atm. Forcing	DA Method	Assimilated Obs.	Observation Operator	Control Variables	Additional Option		
ISBA Multi-layer soil model CO ₂ -responsive version (Interactive veg.)	Continental US (2010-2016, 0.25°x0.25°)	ERA-5 (HersBach, 2016)	SEKF	SSM (ESA CCI) LAI (GEOV1)	Second layer of soil (1-4cm) LAI	Layers of soil 2 to 8 (1-100cm) LAI	Coupling with CTRIP (0.5°)		

- Direct impact of assimilating SSM on root-zone soil moisture as well as on LAI
- Direct impact of assimilating LAI on LAI and root-zone soil moisture
- Other variables benefit from the assimilation through biophysical processes and feedbacks in the model



 Analysis Impact is evaluated by comparing performance improvement relative to the open-loop

Assimilated SSM & LAI (analysis has to be closer to them than the open-loop !)	http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org https://land.copernicus.eu/
In situ measurements of soil moisture from USCRN network	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/crn
River discharge from USGS	https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis
Evapotranspiration from the GLEAM project	http://www.gleam.eu
Gross Primary Production from the FLUXCOM project	http://www.fluxcom.org



Analysis LAI









METEO FRANCE

Page 20

Analysis impact : SSM



¢

METEO FRANCE

Analysis impact : Evapotranspiration & Gross Primary Production

- Normalised RMSDs and NIC (*100) on R values to quantify improvement/degradation
- Blue (negative) colours for RMSD suggest that analysis is better (a & c panels)
- Red (positive) colours for R suggest analysis is better (b & d panels)



RANCI

Vs. in situ soil moisture from USCRN network

(in situ 5cm vs ISBA 4-10cm, April-September 2010-2016, daily data)

NIC R Analysis vs Model NIC Anomaly R Analysis vs Model -10 - 8 - 6 - 4 - 2 02 4 6 8 -10 - 8 - 6 - 4 - 2 02 4 6 8 10 10 NIC R 110 (110) NIC R NIC NSE stations with Median R Median (NIC ANO R) (NIC ANO R) [-3,+3] >+3 % < -3 % significant R (Anomaly R) ubRMSD Diamonds **Blue circles Red circles** (Anomaly R) Model 0.72 (0.60) 0.049 0.74 (0.60) 0.048 46 % (18 %) 8 % (1 %) 46 % (81 %) Analysis Positive Negative Neutral impact impact impact Ø

> METEO FRANCE

Page 23 Copernicus Global Land User Conference, 23-25 October 2018, Toulouse, Fr.

Vs. River discharge (USGS)

- Kling-Gupta efficiency (KGE) values are computed for each Exp. / stations (daily values scaled to the drainage area)
- NIC on KGE



258 out of 531 Stations with KGE Greater than 0	Positive Impact: >+3	Negative Impact: <-3	Neutral Impact [-3; +3]
NICKGE	26%	12%	62%
$N_{RE\sigma}$	22%	1%	77%
$N_{RE\mu}$	34%	1%	65%



Monitoring agricultural drought



Can LDAS-Monde provides a good monitoring of agricultural drougth ?



Monitoring agricultural drought



Can LDAS-Monde provides a good monitoring of agricultural drougth ?

From monitoring to forecasting

- Could analysis provide better initial conditions than model run ? Does the impact last in time ?
 - Use analysis initial conditions at 01/01/2016 to start a 12-month Model run
 - Compare with a 'simple' model run

Page 27

Evaluation against LAI observations over (2010-2016)

Persistence for several weeks / months on LAI



RMSD differences : Model -Model initialised with Analysis



LDAS-Monde sequential assimilation of satellite derived vegetation and soil moisture products

- Direct impact on model control variables (Soil moisture and LAI)
- Other variables benefit from the assimilation through biophysical processes and feedbacks in the model (e.g., Evap., GPP, river discharge, AGB)
- Powerful tool to monitor land surface variables

(Extreme events like agricultural drought, also)

High potential of the analysis for initialising forecasts

(Analysis provides better initial conditions than a model run)

- Towards higher spatial resolution LDAS-Monde using ECMWF IFS HRES (0.1°x0.1°)
- Combining ERA5 (0.25°x0.25°) and HRES (0.1°x0.1°) to force LDAS-Monde permits to have long Reanalysis with Real Time [and even forecast] capacities





Evolution of near-surface air temperature anomalies. This chart produced by C3S shows that the near-surface air temperature anomaly in Europe in the period of April to August (AMJJA), calculated relative to the 1981–2010 average for those months, was much larger in 2018 than in any previous year since 1979.

From Magnusson et al., 2018, ECMWF newsletter #154



The Earth Observations point of view : CGLS GEOV2

Page 30

Leaf Area Index monthly anomaly (scaled by stdv) over 2000-09/2018





The Earth Observations point of view : CGLS GEOV2 Leaf Area Index monthly anomaly (scaled by stdv) over 2000-09/2018 Monthly Anomaly (LAI Obs. GEOV2) 4 July 2 0 -2-42001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2017

- Dashed line illustrates july 2018 values
- Summer 2018 : 4 months in a row with strong negative anomaly!

% of the domain with monthly anomalies greater than -2 stdv (July)

07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2
000	001	002	003	004	005	006	007	008	009	010	011	012	013	014	015	016	017	018
5	0.4	0.25	5	0.6	0.8	1.84	1.14	0.22	0.03	0.67	0.70	0.28	0.7	0.25	2	0.10	0.6	18.8

Larger area affected in Jul. 2018 than Jul. 2003
 Page 31 (in 2003 Aug./Sept. where most affected)



The Earth Observations point of view : CGLS ASCAT SWI [0-100]

- SWI monthly anomaly (scaled by stdv) over 2008-09/2018
- SWI patterns (top left) in agreement with LAI (bottom right) although time period differs





METEO FRANCE

The Earth Observations point of view : CGLS ASCAT SWI [0-100]



• SWI monthly anomaly (scaled by stdv) over 2008-09/2018

Dashed line illustrates july 2018 values

% of the domain with monthly anomalies greater than -2 stdv (July)

07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2	07/2
000	001	002	003	004	005	006	007	008	009	010	011	012	013	014	015	016	017	018
								2.2	0.04	1.75	0.17	1.5	0.5	0.06	3.02	0.01	0.01	10.0

LDAS-Monde to monitor (and forecast?) the summer 2018 heatwave impact on vegetation!

