Toulouse strategy meeting 26/4 -28/4 2016



- Verification and Validation tools -

-state-of-the art-

April 2016 Bent Sass, HIRLAM-C Project Leader on Quality Assurance

Verification Current State-of the art



`Verify forecasted spatial structures in time intervals'

- 1) Global trend in verification of high resolution models since start of the Millenium: <u>Verify ability to forecast spatial structures and model variation in time intervals</u>, in particular related to high impact weather. Verification at specific points in space and time is no longer sufficient due to the `double penalty issue' at high modelresolution
- 2) Examples of new trend relevant to the IFS LAM consortia:
- A) "Features/object based approaches": MODES (at NCEP), identifying objects in the observed field to be compared with forecasted objects.
 SAL (Structure, Amliplitude and Location, Wernli et al., 2008): computation of observed field to be compared with forecast field

Verification Current State-of the art



`spatial verification methods and probabilistic treatment'

- B) Neighborhood methods:
- •) **`Fractions skill score' (FSS),** Roberts and Lean (2008) with emphasis on predictable scales for different thresholds in observed field .
- •) **Probabilistic metrics** such as the Brier score and ranked probability is applied to neighborhoods by Mittermayer (2014), UKMO.
- •) `Significant Weather Score' (SWS), Sass and Yang (2012), measures the ability of model to forecast maxima plus minima of observations in model domain, as a function of spatial upscaling

Verification and Validation tools (examples)



- 1) <u>Systematic studies of model behaviour, e.g. using MUSC</u> for Super Sites (mast data and special surface observations, e.g. measuring surface energy balance, and model tests for field campaigns, e.g. boundary layer studies.
- 2) <u>Remote sensing data for verification</u>, e.g. ASCAT for verification of 10 m winds (potential demonstrated at KNMI)
- 3) <u>Validation of model cloud physical properties</u> from satellite derived products: Try to get synergy with studies based on surface measurements
- 4) <u>Regional climate simulations to investigate model drifts</u>
- 5) Idealized test cases with known solutions ("academic test cases")
- 6) <u>Large-Eddy Simulations (LES)</u> as a tool to validate turbulence + shallow convection schemes
- 7) <u>Numerical scheme tests against accurate reference simulation</u>, e.g. validation of radiation scheme against accurate reference computations.
- 8) <u>Fast Stand-Alone diagnostic setups</u> for parameterization tests, e.g. testing parameter sensitivity.

Verification and diagnostics:



Recommendation related to strategy :

Recommendation: → Make Verification and Diagnostic tools a common core activity :

Justification: → Difficult to compare verification results based on different tools → Consortia support of the verification system to individual members

- Strategy:
- Put main effort into development of HARP because of its potential as a common development tool for spatial verification
 - → Put special efforts into the development of probabilistic verification of ensemble prediction systems
 - → Implement components from other verification systems (e.g.MONITOR) if desirable
 - \rightarrow Exchange diagnostic tools between consortia whenever relevant
 - → Participate inside SRNWP / EumetNet activities on verification and diagnostics
- **Requirements:** → Secure staff availability from both HIRLAM and ALADIN consortia for developing common verification tools
- Working
- Practices : \rightarrow Working weeks , workshops and knowledge sharing essential

Selected references



Gilleland et al, 2010: Verifying forecasts spatially, BAMS issue October 2010, 1365 -1373.

Mittermaier, Marion (2014): A Strategy for Verifying Near-Convection-Resolving Model Forecasts at Observing Sites. *Weather and Forecasting* 29:2, 185-204.

Roberts, N.M. and H.W. Lean, 2008: Scale-selective verification of rainfall accumulations from high resolution forecasts of convective events. *Mon Wea. Rev.* **136**, 78-97.

Sass B.H. and X Yang, 2012: A verification score for high resolution NWP: Idealized and preoperational tests. *HIRLAM Tech. Rep. no.69, Dec. 2012*.

Wernli, H., M. Paulat, M. Hagen, and C. Frei, 2008: SAL – A novel quality measure for the verification of quantitative precipitation forecasts : *Mon Wea. Rev.* **136**, 4470 - 4487.