

Anniina Korpinen & Carl Fortelius, Finnish meteorological institute Contact: anniina.korpinen@fmi.fi

# Simulated effects of land-sea contrasts in Southern Finland

## **1. Introduction**

This study is part of nuclear safety project SAFIR18 focusing in behavior of boundary layer on land-sea contrasts. The idea is to simulate mesoscale features on heterogeneous surface, by using horizontal grid spacing of 500m. Harmonie simulations were validated using observations done near Loviisa nuclear power plant in spring 2015 with weather mast. In this poster temperature, at levels 50m, 75m and 115m, is compared between simulated and observed by select grid points for land, sea and coastline near the weather mast. The goal is to research is boundary layer simulated realistically and how simulations correspond to forcing of different surfaces. <u>Colors in figs.</u>: Observations in red, sea point in blue, land



well to behavior of

The land-sea contrast

#### Model configuration:

- Harmonie-Arome cy40 (trunk\_14979)
- Nesting: ECMWF global short range forecasts updated hourly
- Re-initialized every 6 hours:
  - Upper air and sea surface: Nestor model blended with own forecast
  - Land surface: Assimilation of SYNOP data

### Domain:

- 576 x 576 grid points with horizontal spacing of 500 m
- 65 levels (surface 30 km)
- time step 15 s

Fig. 1. Red square: domain of simulations 577x576 grid points; orange square: area of validation results 101x121 grid points.

point in green and closest point to observation in purple.

## 2. Results





**— Fig. 2.** Time series Fig. 3. Average diurnal of temperature. Difference cycle of temperature. of day and night is clear, Closest correspondence in 115m. Model overestimates simulations corresponds amplitude over land. observed temperatures in Phase difference between every height and gridpoint land and sea evident. Fig. 4. Average day time Fig. 5. Average night time [°

temperature on coast. Day temperature on coast. time is from 1pm to 5pm. Night time is from 0am to 4am. Strongest contrast in decreases with height 115m height









Fig. 6. Vertical profiles of temperature at day and night time. In land and coast points the shape corresponds to the observations, but diurnal amplitude is overestimated

Fig. 7. Vertical profiles of clear diurnal variation in the stability

Fig. 8. Pearson correlation coefficient R of observations and model field at day time. R is high everywhere, but strongest over coastline. In 50m strongest R on land and in 115m strongest R

Fig. 9. Pearson correlation coefficient R at night time. Strong R in every height, strongest over coast. Small difference between land and sea.



Fig. 10. Scatter plot of observations and simulations. Dashed line shows a least square fit.

## **3. Conclusions**

- The land-sea contrast is well represented
- The response to the diurnal forcing at the surface is realistic
- A coastal zone, with properties

different from both land and sea is realistically modeled as shown in fig 8. and 9.

• Simulated area is quite small to see larger scale differences between sea and land