Regional Cooperation for Limited Area Modeling in Central Europe



#### Operational LBCs used in LACE

#### Martina Tudor





#### Outline

#### Overview of operational LBCs used in LACE Options for LBCs from IFS Issues, problems, questions ...













#### Overview of operational LBCs for LACE

Limited area models (LAMs) need lateral boundary conditions (LBCs) Operational NWP LAM needs prognostic LBCs, taken from a global NWP model Available options are several, but here we focus on LBCs from ARPEGE and IFS.

ARPEGE: 8 km resolution, 105 levels IFS: 15.4 km resolution, 60 levels LBCs are on a quadratic grid









#### We get LBC files from IFS dissemination

# We can experiment using IFS data from MARS archive

These are not identical (but should not be very different)









ARSO METE Slovenia



## What could I get from MARS

Options that work with some meaningful grid values for HRES (and for EPS too): current oper octa grid O1280 (O640) Reduced GG for GP fields N640 Reduced GG for SP fields T1279 Latlon 0.07/0.07 (0.15/0.15) Full GG F1280 ...

901 works with N grids Use MIR (mars -m) for SST (Ulf Andrae)











#### Procedure from ARPEGE



#### **ALADIN Lambert grid**









ARSO METEO Slovenia



### Procedure from IFS IFS grid (octahedral → gaussian)



#### **ALADIN Lambert grid**











#### Procedure from IFS (option gl)

#### IFS grid (octahedral → latlon)



#### ALADIN Lambert grid













## Overview of operational LBCs for LACE

File sizes:

#### Operational from IFS **9.8M** From ARPEGE **54M**

Quad 137 lev **67M** (gl: 93M) Quad 60 lev **36M** (gl: 49M) Cubic 60 lev **26M** (gl: 34M) Cubic 137 lev **43M** (gl: 59M)

Note: files from gl are larger (Taille)!







ARSO METE Slovenia

#### Evolution of scores with forecast range

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0 0 6

21

18

15

12

9

6

12

0 6

0 6







**ARSO** METEO

Slovenia

## Quad and cubic grid 8km res Evolution of scores with forecast range

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

70

60 50

40

30

20

10

0

21

18

15

12

9

0

HUMIDITY [%]

8-8:3

12

0 6

0 6



hr@noa.gric.dhz.hr Mon Apr 16 22:43:39 UTC 201









oa.gric.dhz.hr Mon Apr 16 22:53:52 UTC 2018



**ARSO** METEO Slovenia

 $^{-}\mathsf{F}$ 

# Quad grid 8km res 137 lev Evolution of scores with forecast range





METEC

**ARSO** METEO Slovenia



22.

#### Cubic grid 8km res 137 lev Evolution of scores with forecast range

Period: 20180201...20180227 Network: 0UTC RELATIVE\_HUMIDITY (RMSE) Evolution of scores with forecast range Period: 20180201...20180227 Network: 0UTC WIND\_DIRECTION (RMSE)

LACE







O1280 ATLAS? LAM (Lamb, Merc, ..)

Is using gl reducing the number of interpolations?













The FA file headers contain information on A and B coefficients and data on model levels. There is more than one way to compute model levels.

Use hourly LBCs if you can afford it!







